### Journal of Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance

## ORAL PRESENTATION



# Assessment of myocardial scarring improves risk stratification in patients evaluated for cardiac defibrillator implantation

Igor Klem<sup>1\*</sup>, Jonathan W Weinsaft<sup>2</sup>, Bahnson Tristram<sup>1</sup>, Don Hegland<sup>1</sup>, Han W Kim<sup>1</sup>, Brenda Hayes<sup>1</sup>, Michele A Parker<sup>1</sup>, Robert M Judd<sup>1</sup>, Raymond J Kim<sup>1</sup>

*From* 2011 SCMR/Euro CMR Joint Scientific Sessions Nice, France. 3-6 February 2011

#### Objective

We hypothesized that an assessment of myocardial scarring by cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) would improve risk stratification.

#### Background

Current sudden cardiac death (SCD) risk stratification emphasizes left-ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF), however the majority of patients suffering SCD have a preserved LVEF and many with poor LVEF do not benefit from ICD prophylaxis.

#### Methods

One hundred thirty-seven patients undergoing evaluation for possible ICD placement were prospectively enrolled and underwent CMR assessment of LVEF and scar. A comprehensive medical history including CAD risk factors, heart failure functional class (NYHA), and medications at the time of CMR was obtained in all patients. A total of 105 (77%) patients underwent EPS within a median of 0 days (IQR 0, 3.5) of CMR. No patient experienced a change in clinical status in the time between CMR and EPS. 103 patients (75%) had an ICD placed, generally during the initial evaluation, 2 days (IQR 1, 7) after enrollment.

#### Results

During a median follow-up of 24 months, 39 patients experienced the prespecified primary endpoint of death

or appropriate ICD discharge for sustained ventricular tachyarrhythmia. Whereas the rate of adverse events steadily increased with decreasing LVEF, a sharp step-up was observed for scar size >5% of LV mass (HR=5.2 [95% CI, 2.0-13.3]). On multivariable Cox proportional hazards analysis, including LVEF and electrophysiological-study results, scar size (as continuous variable or dichotomized at 5%) was an independent predictor of adverse outcome. Among patients with LVEF >30%, those with significant scarring (>5%) had higher risk than those with minimalor-no (less than or equal to 5%) scarring (HR=6.3 [1.4-28.0]). Those with LVEF >30% and significant scarring had similar risk to patients with LVEF less than or equal to 30% (p=0.56). (Figure 1) Among patients with LVEF less than or equal to 30%, those with significant scarring again had higher risk than those with minimal-or-no scarring (HR=3.9 [1.2-13.1]). Those with LVEF less than or equal to 30% and minimal scarring had similar risk to patients with LVEF >30% (p=0.71). (Figure 2)

#### Conclusions

Myocardial scarring detected by CMR is an independent predictor of adverse outcome in patients being considered for ICD placement. In patients with preserved LVEF, significant scarring (>5% LV) identifies a highrisk cohort similar in risk to those with LVEF less than or equal to 30%. Conversely, in patients with LVEF less than or equal to 30%, minimal-or-no scarring identifies a low-risk cohort similar to those with preserved LVEF.

<sup>1</sup>Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC, USA

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© 2011 Klem et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. Klem et al. Journal of Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance 2011, **13**(Suppl 1):O100 http://www.jcmr-online.com/content/13/S1/O100





#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC, USA. <sup>2</sup>Weill Cornell Medical College, New York, NY, USA.

Published: 2 February 2011

#### doi:10.1186/1532-429X-13-S1-O100

**Cite this article as:** Klem *et al.*: Assessment of myocardial scarring improves risk stratification in patients evaluated for cardiac defibrillator implantation. *Journal of Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance* 2011 **13**(Suppl 1):0100.

## Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

Bio Med Central