

POSTER PRESENTATION



Retrospective gating leads to more accurate velocity measurements than prospective gating in spiral phase velocity mapping

Robin Simpson^{*}, Jennifer Keegan, David Firmin

From 17th Annual SCMR Scientific Sessions New Orleans, LA, USA. 16-19 January 2014

Background

Spiral phase velocity mapping (PVM) has been used to assess both blood flow [1] and myocardial velocities [2]. Data is usually acquired over several heartbeats which, will not be exactly the same due to physiological RR interval (RR) variations. The way in which the data from different cycles is combined depends on the method of ECG gating. This simulation study investigates the effect of RR variation on the velocities measured for prospective (pro) and retrospective (retro) gating.

Methods

Each spiral path is the same except for a rotation around the centre of k-space and hence each contributes equally to the final image. The final velocity-time curve reconstructed from a multi-spiral trajectory can therefore be estimated as the average of the velocity-time curves which would be generated by each spiral individually. This simulation study assumes data acquisition over 13 spiral interleaves, with one interleaf acquired per heartbeat. The input velocity-time curve used (Figure 1a) is typical of radial myocardial velocities in a short-axis view [2], with characteristic peaks in systole (S), early diastole (D) and atrial systole (AS). Sets of 13 RRs are randomly generated with 5%, 10%, 15% and 20% variation around a base RR of 1 second. Systole and diastole are then stretched separately (systole = 0.456 - 108/RR, diastole = RR - systole [3]). To simulate pro, the 13 stretched curves are then averaged at each time point. To simulate retro, the 13 curves are normalised to the same duration, sampled at equal time intervals and then averaged at each time point. Errors in S, D and AS peak and time to



Royal Brompton Hospital, Imperial College, London, UK



© 2014 Simpson et al.; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

	5% Pro	10% Pro	15% Pro	20% Pro	5% Retro	10% Retro	15% Retro	20% Retro
Peak S	0.1	-0.0	-0.0	0.3	0.0	-0.2	-0.2	0.8
Peak D	4.6	4.9	21.1	39.2	1.7	2.7	8.3	15.5
Peak AS	28.6	34.1	44.3	52.6	0.5	0.6	0.6	1.1
Time S	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-4.6	0.0	0.0	-9.5
Time D	-0.5	-0.5	4.1	8.6	-2.9	0.0	-3.7	-5.7
Time AS	1.9	6.5	15.9	18.2	-0.3	0.0	0.0	-0.5

Table 1 Percentage errors for peak and time to peak S, D and AS velocities for prospective and retrospective gating

Error is calculated as percentage difference between measured values with variation and measured value with no variation. Positive error represents underestimation of peak value.

peak velocity for both retro and pro gating were determined for all levels of RR variation.

Results

Results are shown in Figure 1 and Table 1. S is well preserved at all levels of RR variation for both pro and retro gating techniques. D is progressively underestimated as RR variation increases from 5% to 20% with the underestimation being greater for prospective gating at all levels of RR variation. AS is severely affected for pro even with low levels of RR variation whereas for retro it is consistently well preserved.

Conclusions

These simulations show that for this application retro allows more accurate measurements of peak velocities in the presence of heart rate variation than pro: at 15% RR variation, D and AS are both underestimated by more than 20% with pro but by less than 10% with retro. This is because during the normalisation step retro effectively stretches each heartbeat linearly before combining to produce an image while pro makes no attempt to deal with variation. Pro therefore averages velocities from different parts of the cardiac cycle in the presence of RR variation, while the linear stretching in retro goes some way towards reducing the effect. These results show that incorporation of more physiological stretching algorithms could further improve future retro algorithms.

Funding

CBRU Royal Brompton Hospital. HRUK Grant number RG2584.

Published: 16 January 2014

References

- 1. Gatehouse, et al: MRM 1994.
- 2. Simpson, et al: JCMR 2013.
- 3. Weissler, et al: Circ 1968.

doi:10.1186/1532-429X-16-S1-P383

Cite this article as: Simpson *et al.*: Retrospective gating leads to more accurate velocity measurements than prospective gating in spiral phase velocity mapping. *Journal of Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance* 2014 16 (Suppl 1):P383.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

) Bio Med Central

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit