

ORAL PRESENTATION

Open Access

High field MR carotid vessel wall imaging: reproducibility of five different MR-weightings

Eleanore S Kroner^{1*}, Jos J Westenberg¹, Rob J van der Geest¹, Joost Doornbos¹, Joanne D Schuijff¹, Eline Kooi², Albert de Roos¹, Jeroen J Bax¹, Hildo J Lamb¹, Hans-Marc Siebelink¹

From 2011 SCMR/Euro CMR Joint Scientific Sessions
Nice, France. 3-6 February 2011

Introduction

Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) has emerged as a promising noninvasive imaging modality for the serial assessment of vessel wall thickness in the carotid artery as an early marker of atherosclerosis. For clinical application of this technique, Scan-Rescan reproducibility is paramount. Currently, a multicontrast protocol, including a combination of MR-weightings is used as reference standard for quantitative and morphologic measurements.

Purpose

To investigate Scan-Rescan reproducibility for each of the commonly used weightings analyzed separately. To investigate which of the MR-weightings approximates best the combined multicontrast protocol (reference standard).

Methods

5 healthy volunteers (60% male, mean age=28years) underwent repeated MRI examinations of the left carotid artery with five contrast-weighted scans to image lumen and vessel wall (Table 1). The scan and a rescan were acquired using a 3T (Philips) MRI scanner. A standard phased-array coil with two flexible elements of 14×17cm was used to obtain nine transverse imaging sections of the left carotid artery with identical in-plane resolution (0.46×0.46mm²). Scan-Rescan analysis was performed in the third slice of the imaging stack, representing a slice in the common carotid artery. An example is provided in Figure 1. Manual contour segmentation of the lumen and vessel wall was performed using in-house developed software (VesselMASS). Vessel wall area (mm²) and lumen area (mm²) were assessed

Table 1 Carotid Imaging Protocol at 3T: Scan Parameters

Parameters	Black-blood T1-weighted	Black-blood T2-weighted	Black-blood Proton-Density-weighted	T1-weighted	TOF
Acquisition sequence	TFE	TSE	TSE	TSE	FFE
Acquisition Mode	2D	2D	2D	2D	3D
Echo Time (msec)	3.54	50	20	10	3.30
Repetition Time (msec)	12.41	2 heartbeats	2 heartbeats	1 heartbeat	26.20
Excitation flip angle (degrees)	45	90	90	90	20
FOV (cm)	14 x 14	14 x 14	14 x 14	14 x 14	14 x 14
Resolution (mm ²)	0.461 x 0.461	0.461 x 0.461	0.461 x 0.461	0.461 x 0.461	0.461 x 0.461
Slice thickness/gap (mm)	2; 0.71	2; 0.71	2; 0.71	2; 0.71	2; 0.71
Slices	9	9	9	9	9

TSE, turbo (segmented) spin-echo; FFE, fast field echo (gradient echo); TFE, turbo field echo; FOV, Field of view; TOF, time of flight

¹LUMC, Leiden, Netherlands

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article




Figure 1 An example of the co-registered contrast-weightings and 3D time-of-flight. The red line on the sagittal view of the carotid bifurcation indicates position of the analyzed slices.

Table 2

a- Scan-Rescan Reproducibility for the Common Carotid Artery

	Lumen Area (mm ²)					Vessel Wall area (mm ²)				
	R	mean	p	SD	COV (%)	R	mean	p	SD	COV (%)
T1-TFE	0.97	-1.15	0.42	2.91	8%	0.86	1.41	0.01	0.74	3%
T2	0.95	2.03	0.18	2.82	8%	0.96	-1.19	0.20	1.72	7%
PD	0.89	2.75	0.06	2.28	7.5%	0.55	-0.25	0.85	2.82	12%
T1-TSE	0.90	-0.12	0.94	3.45	10%	0.85	-1.70	0.13	2.03	9%
TOF	0.97	0.74	0.43	1.89	5%					

b- Separate analysis of the MR-weightings compared to combined contrast weighted protocol

	Lumen Area (mm ²)					Vessel Wall area (mm ²)				
	R	mean	p	SD	COV (%)	R	mean	p	SD	COV (%)
T1-TFE	0.97	0.57	0.52	1.80	5%	0.90	-0.60	0.18	0.83	3.5%
T2	0.38	-0.76	0.85	8.32	24%	0.52	-1.02	0.42	2.54	11%
PD	0.81	-2.71	0.24	4.39	13%	0.07	-0.50	0.75	3.31	14%
T1-TSE	0.78	-0.69	0.75	4.63	13%	0.64	-2.25	0.15	2.87	13%
TOF	0.84	3.08	0.15	3.88	10%					

R. Pearson's correlation coefficient; P p-value of T-test; SD. Standard deviation; COV. Coefficient of variation.

by one blinded observer for the different contrast weightings and compared with the rescan acquisition. Furthermore, vessel wall- and lumen areas from the different contrast weightings were compared with the reference standard.

Results


Reproducibility of the repeated assessment was high for all MR-weightings, for both lumen area and vessel wall areas. (Table 2). The Bland-Altman plot for vessel wall area, is

shown in Figure 2 for Scan-Rescan reproducibility. Highest reproducibility was found for the T1-TFE and T2-TSE sequences.

T1-TFE showed highest correlation for lumen (r=0.97) and vessel wall area (r=0.90) assessment when compared with the reference standard.

Conclusion

This pilot Scan-Rescan study showed best reproducibility of lumen and vessel wall area assessment for the



T1-TFE and T2-TSE weightings. T1-TFE showed highest correlation to the reference standard.

Author details

¹LUMC, Leiden, Netherlands. ²AZM, Maastricht, Netherlands.

Published: 2 February 2011

doi:10.1186/1532-429X-13-S1-O13

Cite this article as: Kroner et al.: High field MR carotid vessel wall imaging: reproducibility of five different MR-weightings. *Journal of Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance* 2011 **13**(Suppl 1):O13.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit

 **BioMed Central**