Journal of Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance



Moderated poster presentation

Open Access

Correlation among aortic stiffness, LV scar volume and diastolic dysfunction in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: a cardiac MRI study Prabhakar Rajiah*, Randolph Setser and Scott D Flamm

Address: Cleveland Clinic Foundation, Cleveland, OH, USA

* Corresponding author

from 13th Annual SCMR Scientific Sessions Phoenix, AZ, USA. 21-24 January 2010

Published: 21 January 2010

Journal of Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance 2010, 12(Suppl 1):M4 doi:10.1186/1532-429X-12-S1-M4

This abstract is available from: http://jcmr-online.com/content/12/S1/M4 © 2010 Raiiah et al: licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

Introduction

We recently demonstrated that increased aortic stiffness as indicated by increased PWV is evident in patients with Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM), and is more pronounced in those with myocardial fibrosis. In parallel, left ventricular performance may be affected, and potentially represent an additional important factor in risk stratification.

Purpose

The purpose of this study was to determine the relationship among aortic stiffness as measured by PWV in MRI, LV scar volume, LV mass, diastolic function and LVOT gradients in patients with HCM.

Methods

Velocity encoded MRI was performed in 80 HCM and 35 normal control subjects. PWV was determined between the mid ascending and descending thoracic aorta. Delayed enhancement MRI was acquired for identification of myocardial fibrosis. Quantitative analysis of the scar was performed using advanced software package (Philips, The Netherlands). LV mass was evaluated by drawing endocardial and epicardial contours in cine-SSFP images. Diastolic function and LVOT gradients were evaluated by echocardiography. Diastolic function was graded from 0-3 and LVOT gradient was significant if > 30 mm Hg.

Results

Mean age was 55.4 years in HCM, and 45.3 years in controls. The prevalence of myocardial fibrosis in HCM was 53%. PWV was significantly higher in HCM patients com-

pared with controls (8.51 \pm 3.9 m/s vs 3.74 \pm 0.86 m/s, p < 0.0001). PWV was higher in HCM patients with fibrosis than those without (9.8 \pm 4.4 m/s vs 7.0 \pm 2.5 m/s, p < 0.0001), and higher in patients with severe fibrosis (>10% of myocardial mass) than those with mild fibrosis (11.1 \pm 6.3 m/s vs 9.3 ± 3.5 m/s, p < 0.0001). However, there was no direct correlation between scar volume and aortic stiffness ($R^2 = 0.003$, Fig 1). There was also no direct correlation between LV mass and PWV (R2 = 0.002). Diastolic dysfunction was seen in 73% of patients. PWV was significantly higher in HCM patients with diastolic dysfunction than those without $(9.1 \pm 4.3 \text{ m/s vs } 6.9 \pm 1.9 \text{ m/s, p} <$ 0.0001. Significant LVOT gradients at rest and provocation were seen in 51% and 84% of patients respectively, but there was no statistically significant difference of PWV in those with and without significant gradients.

Conclusion

Increased aortic stiffness, as indicated by increased PWV is evident in HCM patients, and is more pronounced in those with severe fibrosis than those with mild or no fibrosis, and in patients with diastolic dysfunction compared to those absent diastolic dysfunction. However, there is no direct correlation between abnormal PWV and scar volume, LV mass or LVOT gradient.