

Poster presentation

## The value of MRA images for identifying intraplaque hemorrhage in carotid plaque

Ye Qiao<sup>\*1,2,3</sup>, Maryam Etesami<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Saurabh Malhotra<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Frank D Kolodgie<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Renu Virmani<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Hugh H Trout III<sup>1,2,3</sup> and Bruce A Wasserman<sup>1,2,3</sup>

Address: <sup>1</sup>Johns Hopkins School of Medicine, Baltimore, USA, <sup>2</sup>CVPath Institute Inc, Gaithersburg, USA and <sup>3</sup>Suburban Hospital, Bethesda, USA

\* Corresponding author

from 13th Annual SCMR Scientific Sessions  
Phoenix, AZ, USA. 21-24 January 2010

Published: 21 January 2010

*Journal of Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance* 2010, **12**(Suppl 1):P132 doi:10.1186/1532-429X-12-S1-P132

This abstract is available from: <http://jcmr-online.com/content/12/S1/P132>

© 2010 Qiao et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

### Introduction

MR angiography (MRA) has become a standard workup for carotid atherosclerosis, using either contrast-enhanced (CE) or time-of-flight (TOF) techniques. This provides a measure of narrowing but does not identify plaque components that indicate risk of rupture, such as the presence of intraplaque hemorrhage (IPH). CE and TOF techniques employ highly T1-weighted 3D gradient-echo sequences, and have the potential to detect IPH because of T1 short blood products.

### Purpose

We sought to determine whether IPH can be detected using either TOF or pre-contrast CEMRA (mask) images alone as part of the standard MRA workup, and if so, which is more reliable and accurate.

### Methods

Carotid MRA scans were performed at 3 T on 10 patients (age range = 58-86 y, 80% male) scheduled for carotid endarterectomy (CEA) for stenosis. A 3D TOF MRA was acquired with the following parameters: TR/TE/flip angle, 23 msec/3.5 msec/25°; voxel size, 0.6 × 0.8 × 1 mm. A CEMRA was acquired before (mask image) and after contrast administration with the following parameters: TR/TE/flip angle, 6.0 msec/2.0 msec/30°; voxel size, 0.7 × 0.7 × 1 mm. The source images of both MRA sequences were reconstructed to a transverse plane. CEA specimens were matched to the MRI images and stained with glycoporphin

A and Mallory's stain to detect IPH. MR images were de-identified and reviewed randomly by two independent readers for IPH presence (identified as hyperintense regions compared to adjacent muscle (1)). The sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive values (PPV and NPV) for IPH detection were calculated. Inter- and intra-observer agreements were determined by Cohen's kappa statistics.

### Results

The median stenosis was 77.5% (range, 60%-99%). Five of 10 CEA specimens (41 of 99 MRI images) contained IPH confirmed by histology. Compared to TOF, CEMRA demonstrated higher diagnostic values, including sensitivity, specificity, PPV and NPV for IPH by two independent readers (Table 1). The accuracy rate for correctly identifying IPH using CEMRA mask images and TOF images was 90.1% and 82.9%, respectively. Inter- and intra-observer agreements for IPH detection were excellent by mask images ( $\kappa = 0.87$  and  $\kappa = 0.83$ , respectively) and were good by TOF images ( $\kappa = 0.79$  and  $\kappa = 0.68$  for inter- and intra-observer, respectively).

### Conclusion

CEMRA mask images are highly accurate and reliable for identifying IPH, more so than the TOF sequence, and can provide valuable information about risk for rupture.

**Table 1: Comparison of two MRA techniques for IPH detection**

MRA techniques	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)	Positive Predictive Value (%)	Negative Predictive Value (%)	
CEMRA-MASK	Reader 1	92.7	96.6	95.0	94.9
	Reader 2	87.8	96.6	94.7	91.8
TOF	Reader 1	85.3	84.5	79.5	89.1
	Reader 2	80.5	85.4	80.5	85.5

## References

1. Moody AR, et al.: **Circulation**. **107(24)**:3047-52.

Publish with **BioMed Central** and every scientist can read your work free of charge

*"BioMed Central will be the most significant development for disseminating the results of biomedical research in our lifetime."*

Sir Paul Nurse, Cancer Research UK

Your research papers will be:

- available free of charge to the entire biomedical community
- peer reviewed and published immediately upon acceptance
- cited in PubMed and archived on PubMed Central
- yours — you keep the copyright

Submit your manuscript here:  
[http://www.biomedcentral.com/info/publishing\\_adv.asp](http://www.biomedcentral.com/info/publishing_adv.asp)

