# Journal of Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance



Poster presentation

**Open Access** 

# Validation of segmental visual scar quantification in ischemic and non-ischemic cardiomyopathy: Comparison to signal threshold analysis of delayed enhancement MR images

Nowell Fine\*1, Matthew Brymer<sup>2</sup>, Anna MacDonald<sup>3</sup>, Maria Drangova<sup>2</sup> and James White<sup>3</sup>

Address: <sup>1</sup>London Health Sciences Centre, London, ON, Canada, <sup>2</sup>Robarts Research Institute, London, ON, Canada and <sup>3</sup>London Health Sciences Centre/Robarts Research Institute/Lawson Health Research Institute, London, ON, Canada

\* Corresponding author

from 13th Annual SCMR Scientific Sessions Phoenix, AZ, USA. 21-24 January 2010

Published: 21 January 2010

Journal of Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance 2010, 12(Suppl 1):P169 doi:10.1186/1532-429X-12-S1-P169

This abstract is available from: http://jcmr-online.com/content/12/S1/P169 © 2010 Fine et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

# **Objective**

To determine the correlation between quantification of myocardial scar volume using segmental visual scoring and semi-automated signal threshold techniques.

# **Background**

Visual evaluation of delayed enhancement (DE) MR images using a 5-point, 17-segment model is efficient and more practical than computerized quantitative signal analysis techniques. However, the accuracy of this technique for quantifying scar burden has not been validated.

#### **Methods**

161 consecutive patients with abnormal DE findings were included. Blinded visual quantification of segmental (AHA 17-segment model) scar was performed using a 5-point scale, as follows; 0 = none, 1 = 1-25%, 2 = 26-50%, 3 = 51-75%, 4 = 76-100%. Each segment was assumed to represent  $1/17^{\text{th}}$  of the LV mass. Computerized, signal threshold-based analysis of total myocardial scar volume was also performed using cut-offs of 2, 3 and 5 SD above mean normal (nulled) myocardial signal. Comparison of visual scar quantification and signal-threshold based quantification was then performed using linear regression. Bland-Altman plots were then performed between visual scar volume and threshold-based scar volume measurements.

### **Results**

Of 161 patients studied, the mean age was  $57.5 \pm 13.0$ years. The mean LV ejection fraction was  $46.3 \pm 20.6\%$ . Sixty-six (41%) patients had an ischemic DE pattern, 76 (47%) had a non-ischemic DE pattern, and 19 (12%) had a combined pattern. The Pearson correlation coefficients for visual versus threshold-based quantitative analysis at 5, 3, and 2 SD signal intensity thresholds were r = 0.81, r= 0.79 and r = 0.63 (p < 0.0001), respectively. Coefficients for patient sub-groups with ischemic and non-ischemic DE were r = 0.81, r = 0.81, r = 0.74 (p < 0.0001) and r =0.72, r = 0.69, r = 0.46 (p = 0.0001), respectively. Bland-Altman analysis of the mean difference in total scar volume between visual and threshold-based analysis (5 SD) for all patients, patients with ischemic DE, and patients with non-ischemic DE was  $4.3 \pm 7.9\%$ ,  $4.8 \pm 7.8$ , and 2.6 $\pm$  7.6%, respectively.

#### Conclusion

Visual quantification of myocardial scar using a 5-point, 17-segment approach correlates well with threshold-based quantitative analysis. In this study the enhanced volume by visual scoring was closely related to both 3 and 5 SD signal-based analysis for both ischemic and non-ischemic patterns of DE.