

POSTER PRESENTATION

Open Access

Evaluation of aortic root for definition of prosthesis size by magnetic resonance imaging and cardiac computed tomography: implications for transcatheter aortic valve implantation

Ralf Koos^{1*}, Ertunc Altiok¹, Andreas Horst Mahnken², Mirja Neizel³, Guido Dohmen⁴, Nikolaus Marx¹, Harald Kühl⁵, Rainer Hoffmann¹

From 2011 SCMR/Euro CMR Joint Scientific Sessions Nice, France. 3-6 February 2011

Introduction

Prior to transcatheter aortic valve implantation (TAVI), dual-source computed tomography (DSCT) is currently the preferred imaging modality for correct prothesis selection by accurate analysis of aortic annulus and ascending aorta. However, DSCT is associated with the need for contrast administration and radiation exposure.

Purpose

This study aimed to compare cardiac magnetic resonance imaging (CMR) with dual source computed tomography (DSCT) for analysis of aortic root dimensions prior to (TAVI). In addition, the potential impact of CMR and DSCT measurements on TAVI strategy defined by 2D-transesophageal echocardiography (TEE) was evaluated.

Methods

Aortic root dimensions were measured using CMR (1.5 Tesla, Achieva, Philips, the Netherlands) and DSCT (Definition, Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) in 58 patients referred for evaluation of TAVI. For CMR non-contrast enhanced navigator-gated 3-D whole heart acquisition was conducted (voxel size 1.2x1.2x1.8, TR/TE 4.9/2.9, flip angle 100°). CMR-images were analyzed using a 3-D reconstruction tool (EWS, Philips, the Netherlands). Coronal and sagittal CT-images were reconstructed for evaluation of aortic annulus and ascending aorta

dimensions at an external workstation. The TAVI strategy (choice of prosthesis size and decision to implant) was based on 2D-TEE annulus measurements.

Results

CMR and DSCT aortic root measurements showed an overall good correlation (r=0.86, p<0.001 for coronal aortic annulus diameters). There was also a good correlation between TEE and CMR as well as between TEE and DSCT for measurement of sagittal aortic annulus diameters (r=0.69, p<0.001). However, annulus diameters assessed by TEE (22.1 ± 2.3mm) were significantly smaller than coronal aortic annulus diameters assessed by CMR (23.4 \pm 1.8mm, p<0.001) or DSCT $(23.6 \pm 1.8, p<0.001)$. Regarding TAVI strategy, the agreement between TEE and sagittal CMR (kappa = 0.89) as well as sagittal DSCT measurements (kappa = 0.87) was perfect. However, decision based on coronal CMR- or MSCT measurements would have modified TAVI strategy as compared to a TEE based choice in a significant number of patients (22% to 24%).

Conclusions

In patients referred for TAVI, CMR measurements of aortic root dimensions show a good correlation with DSCT measurements and thus CMR may be an alternative 3D-imaging modality without the need for radiation or contrast-agent exposure. Aortic annulus measurements using TEE, CMR and DSCT were close but not identical and the method used has important potential implications on TAVI strategy.

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



¹Department of Cardiology, University hospital RWTH Aachen, Aachen, Germany

Author details

¹Department of Cardiology, University hospital RWTH Aachen, Aachen, Germany. ²Department of Diagnostic Radiology, University hospital RWTH Aachen, Aachen, Germany. ³University Hospital Duesseldorf, Duesseldorf, Germany. ⁴Department of Cardiac Surgery, University hospital RWTH Aachen, Aachen, Germany. ⁵Department of Cardiology, Klinikum Harlaching, München, Germany.

Published: 2 February 2011

doi:10.1186/1532-429X-13-S1-P243

Cite this article as: Koos *et al.*: Evaluation of aortic root for definition of prosthesis size by magnetic resonance imaging and cardiac computed tomography: implications for transcatheter aortic valve implantation. *Journal of Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance* 2011 13(Suppl 1):P243.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

